

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

5

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,786 06/26/2003		Masaru Aiso	393032038400	5216	
7590 03/05/2008 David L. Fehrman			EXAMINER		
Morrison & Foerster LLP			TRAN, CON P		
35th Floor 555 W. 5th Stre	et	÷	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90013			2615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		03/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	10/608,78	36	AISO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	·			
		Con P. Tra	an	2615				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	ation appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress			
Period fo	• •							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. Itory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNIC, ent, however, may a rep ill expire SIX (6) MONTI lication to become ABA	ATION. Oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this condoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				4				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 23 January 200	8.					
· · · —	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
<u> </u>		lication						
	 Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			*				
·	Claim(s) 3-4 is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.					
Annligati	ion Panore							
	ion Papers	.	•					
-	The specification is objected to by the I			u the Everniner				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	•	·		•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				ED 1 121/d\			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b							
	·		ne the attached		0 102.			
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 0	application from the International	•		acaived				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
				•				
			,					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.040		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	O-948)		ormal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	<u>.</u> .				

10/608,786 Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/23/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Basel U.S. Patent 6,341,166 in view of Power et al. U.S. Patent 6,839,441, (hereinafter, "Power").

10/608,786 Art Unit: 2615

Regarding **claim 1**, Basel teaches an apparatus for volume control devices (see Figs. 2, 3, and respective portions of the specification), comprising:

a first volume control device and a second volume control device which correspond to source correction equalization unit (240, Fig. 2, 3). The source correction equalization unit (240) provides equalization correction to current source medium (302) in order to maintain desired spectral balance of reference source medium (202; see col. 5, lines 26-43);

a position control device which corresponds to control interface for changing from source (202, Fig. 2) to (302, Fig. 3; see col. 4, lines 11-27);

a controller which corresponds to power spectrum analyzer 210, reference spectral balance data memory (212), current source spectral balance data memory (214), compute unit (220), spectral balance correction data memory (232, see Figs. 2.

3) for setting automatically (i.e., automatically corrected, col. 4, lines 8-11) spectral balance correction data in spectral balance correction data memory (232) to maintain desired spectral balance of reference source medium (see col. 5, lines 15-43).

However, Basel does not explicitly disclose: an operation position of a first volume control device is driven automatically and operated manually; an operation position of a second volume control device is driven automatically and operated manually.

Power discloses a sound mixing system (see Figs. 2, 3, 4) comprising: motorized faders (11, Fig. 3; col. 5, lines 5-16) in input channel control; motorized control knobs in

Art Unit: 2615

mater control section (Fig. 4; col. 6, lines 11-22, lines 48-53; and operated manually (i.e., adjusted manually col. 6, lines 25-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the sound mixing system taught by Power with the drive apparatus of Basel such that an operation position of a first volume control device is driven automatically and operated manually; and an operation position of a second volume control device is driven automatically and operated manually as claimed for purpose of moving quickly to positions corresponding to the current circuit parameters, as suggested by Power in column 3, lines 45-46.

Regarding **claim 2**, Basel, as modified, further teaches the drive apparatus for volume control devices according to claim 1, wherein source correction equalization unit (240, Fig. 2, 3) including a plurality of the second volume control devices to maintain desired spectral balance of reference source medium (see col. 1, lines 12-34).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 3-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

10/608,786 Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claim 3, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest an assigner for assigning a plurality of audio signals corresponding to arbitrary ones of the second volume control devices to the first volume control device.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 has been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Con P. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7532. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:30 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Vivian C. Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

10/608,786 Art Unit: 2615 Page 6

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cpt CJ February 19, 2008

VENTAN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER